arXiv:1204.2630v2 [math.PR] 22 Apr 2012

DERIVATIVE FORMULA AND GRADIENT ESTIMATE FOR SDES DRIVEN BY α-STABLE PROCESSES*

XICHENG ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper we prove a derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type as well as gradient estimate for stochastic differential equations driven by α-stable noises, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. As an application, the strong Feller property for stochastic partial differential equations driven by subordinated cylindrical Brownian motions is presented.

1. Introduction and Main Result

The derivative formula of diffusion semigroups about the stochastic differential equations (SDEs) on Riemannian manifolds was originally introduced by Bismut in [4], and used to derive the estimates of heat kernels and large deviation principles. His approach is based upon the Malliavin calculus. In [8], Elworthy and Li used simple martingale arguments to derive a derivative formula for a large class of diffusion semigroups on Riemannian manifolds. Nowadays, this type of formula has been proved to be a quite useful tool in various aspects such as functional inequalities, heat kernel estimates, strong Feller properties and sensitivity analysis, see [1], [2], [9], [11], [14], [20] etc.

Due to various successful applications, recently, there is great interest to establish an analogous derivative formula for jump-diffusion processes. In [6], Cass and Fritz proved a derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type for SDEs with jumps and nondegenerate Brownian diffusion term. In [18], Takeuchi proved a similar formula for some pure-jump diffusions with finite moments of all orders. However, their works rule out the interesting α-stable processes. In [19], Wang used the coupling method and Girsanov's transform to prove a derivative formula for linear SDEs driven by pure-jump Lévy processes including α-stable processes, where the explicit gradient estimates and heat kernel inequalities are also derived. For infinitely dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with cylindrical α-stable processes, using the infinitely dimensional analysis, Priola and Zabczyk [15] established an explicit derivative formula in terms of the distributional density of α-stable processes. Then the strong Feller property was obtained for a class of semilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) driven by cylindrical α-stable processes, where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

In this paper, we aim to establish a derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type for *nonlinear* SDEs driven by α-subordinated Brownian motions. Before moving on, we first recall the classical derivative formulas for diffusion semigroups. Let $\{W_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a standard d-dimensional Wiener process. Consider the following SDE in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t(x) = b_t(X_t(x))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \cdot W_t, \quad X_0(x) = x, \tag{1.1}$$

where σ is a $d \times d$ invertible matrix, and $b : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies that

(H) b has continuous first order partial derivatives with respect to x, and

$$\|\nabla b\|_\infty < +\infty,$$

---

*This work is supported by NSFs of China (No. 10971076) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET 10-0654).

where $\nabla b_s(x) := (\partial_{x^1} b_s(x), \cdots, \partial_{x^d} b_s(x))$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the uniform norm with respect to s and x.

It is well-known that there are at least two forms of derivative formulas: for any function $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (see [11, 21]),

$$\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)) = \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t(x)) \int_0^t \sigma^{-1}[h + (t-s)\nabla_h b_s(X_s(x))]\mathrm{d}W_s \right) \tag{1.2}$$

and (see [7, 8])

$$\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)) = \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t(x)) \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} \cdot \nabla_h X_s(x) \mathrm{d}W_s \right), \tag{1.3}$$

where for a function φ, $\nabla_h \varphi := \langle \nabla\varphi, h\rangle$ denotes the directional derivative along h, and $\nabla_h X_t(x)$ satisfies the following linear equation:

$$\nabla_h X_t(x) = h + \int_0^t \nabla b_s(X_s(x)) \cdot \nabla_h X_s(x) \mathrm{d}s. \tag{1.4}$$

The difference between (1.2) and (1.3) lies in that in formula (1.2), ∇b is allowed to be polynomial growth as in [11, 20, 21], while in formula (1.3), ∇b usually needs to be bounded. Below, we shall see that formula (1.3) is crucial for us since b does not appear explicitly in (1.3).

Now we turn to the case of SDEs driven by α-stable processes. For $\alpha \in (0,2)$, let $\{S_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be an independent $\alpha/2$-stable subordinator, i.e., an increasing $\mathbb{R}$-valued process with stationary independent increments, and

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}uS_t} = \mathrm{e}^{t|u|^{\alpha/2}}.$$

It is well-known that the subordinated Brownian motion $\{W_{S_t}\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an α-stable process (cf. [3, 17]). Let us consider the following SDE in $\mathbb{R}^d$ driven by W_{S_t}:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t(x) = b_t(X_t(x))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \cdot \mathrm{d}W_{S_t}, \quad X_0(x) = x. \tag{1.5}$$

The generator of Markov process $\{X_t(x), t \geqslant 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t f(x) := \mathrm{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+\sigma y) - f(x)) \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} + b_t(x) \cdot \nabla f(x),$$

where P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value. Notice that if $b_t(x) = b_0(x)$ is time homogenous, then $u_t(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x))$ solves the following integro-differential equation (cf. [6, 22]):

$$\partial_t u_t(x) = \mathcal{L}_0 u(x), \quad u_0 = f.$$

The main aim of the paper is to derive a formula for $\nabla \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x))$ as stated follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Under* **(H)**, *for any function $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{S_t} f(X_t(x)) \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} \cdot \nabla_h X_s(x) \mathrm{d}W_{S_s} \right), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\nabla_h X_s(x)$ is determined by equation (1.4). In particular, for any $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $p \in (1,\infty]$, there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, p) > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$,

$$|\nabla \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x))| \leqslant C\|\sigma^{-1}\| \mathrm{e}^{\|\nabla b\|_\infty t} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\mathbb{E}|f(X_t(x))|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\|\sigma^{-1}\| := \sup_{|x|=1} |\sigma^{-1} x|$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidian norm.

Remark 1.2. *From equation (1.4), it is easy to see that $s \mapsto \nabla_h X_s(x)$ is a bounded and continuous $\sigma\{W_{S_r} : r \leqslant s\}$-adapted process. Thus, the stochastic integral in (1.6) makes sense.*

We now introduce the main idea of proving this theorem. Let $\mathbb{W}$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0,\infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ vanishing at starting point 0, which is endowed with the locally uniform convergence topology and the Wiener measure $\mu_{\mathbb{W}}$ so that the coordinate process

$$W_t(w) = w_t$$

is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the space of all increasing and càdlàg functions from $(0,\infty)$ to $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{s\downarrow 0}\ell_s = 0$, which is endowed with the Skorohod metric and the probability measure $\mu_{\mathbb{S}}$ so that the coordinate process

$$S_t(\ell) := \ell_t$$

is an $\alpha/2$-stable subordinator S_t (cf. [3, 17]). Consider the following product probability space

$$(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P) := (\mathbb{W}\times\mathbb{S}, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{W})\times\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{S}), \mu_{\mathbb{W}}\times\mu_{\mathbb{S}}),$$

and define

$$L_t(w,\ell) := w_{\ell_t}.$$

Then $\{L_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an α-stable process on $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},P)$. We shall use the following two natural filtrations associated to the Lévy process L_t and the Brownian motion W_t:

$$\mathscr{F}_t := \sigma\{L_s(w,\ell) : s\leqslant t\},\quad \mathscr{F}_t^{\mathbb{W}} := \sigma\{W_s(w) : s\leqslant t\}.$$

In particular, we can regard the solution $X_t(x)$ of SDE (1.5) as an $(\mathscr{F}_t)$-adapted functional on Ω, and therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_t(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{S}}\int_{\mathbb{W}} f(X_t(x; w_{\ell_\cdot}))\mu_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathrm{d}w)\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell).$$

For $\ell\in\mathbb{S}$, let $X_t^\ell(x)$ solve the following SDE:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\ell(x) = b_t(X_t^\ell(x))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma\cdot\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t},\quad X_0^\ell(x) = x. \tag{1.8}$$

Now, our task is to establish a formula for $\nabla_h\mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}} f(X_t^\ell(x))$. This is not obvious since $t\mapsto W_{\ell_t}$ is not continuous and the classical Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula can not be used directly.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we shall prove a formula for $\nabla_h\mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}} f(X_t^\ell(x))$ by suitable approximation for ℓ_t. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove the strong Feller property for nonlinear SPDEs driven by subordinated cylindrical Brownian motions.

2. Derivative formula of SDEs under nonrandom time changed

In this section, we fix an $\ell\in\mathbb{S}$ and consider SDE (1.8). If there is no special declaration, all expectations are taken on the Wiener space $(\mathbb{W},\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{W}),\mu_{\mathbb{W}})$. First of all, notice that $t\mapsto W_{\ell_t}$ is a Gaussian process with zero means and independent increments. In particular,

$$W_{\ell_t} \text{ is a càdlàg } \mathscr{F}_{\ell_t}^{\mathbb{W}}\text{-martingale.}$$

Thus, under **(H)**, it is well-known that for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, SDE (1.8) admits a unique càdlàg $(\mathscr{F}_{\ell_t}^{\mathbb{W}})$-adapted solution $X_t^\ell(x)$ (cf. [16, p.249, Theorem 6]).

The main aim of this section is to establish the following formula:

Theorem 2.1. *Under* **(H)**, *for any function $f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h\in\mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\nabla_h\mathbb{E}f(X_t^\ell(x)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{\ell_t}f(X_t^\ell(x))\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X_s^\ell(x)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\nabla_h X_s^\ell(x)$ is determined by the following linear equation:

$$\nabla_h X_t^\ell(x) = h + \int_0^t \nabla b_s(X_s^\ell(x))\cdot\nabla_h X_s^\ell(x)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.2}$$

For proving this formula, we shall use the time changed argument to transform SDE (1.8) into an SDE driven by standard Brownian motions, and then use the classical Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula (1.3). For this aim, for $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we define

$$\ell_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \ell_s \mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t = \int_0^1 \ell_{\varepsilon s+t}\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $t \mapsto \ell_t$ is increasing and right continuous, it follows that for each $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\ell_t^\varepsilon \downarrow \ell_t \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, $t \mapsto \ell_t^\varepsilon$ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing. Let γ^ε be the inverse function of ℓ^ε, i.e.,

$$\ell^\varepsilon_{\gamma_t^\varepsilon} = t,\ t \geqslant \ell_0^\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^\varepsilon_{\ell_t^\varepsilon} = t,\ t \geqslant 0.$$

By definition, γ_t^ε is also absolutely continuous on $[\ell_0^\varepsilon, \infty)$. Let us now define

$$Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) := X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_{\gamma_t^\varepsilon}(x), \quad t \geqslant \ell_0^\varepsilon.$$

By equation (1.8) and the change of variables, one sees that for $t \geqslant \ell_0^\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) &= x + \int_0^{\gamma_t^\varepsilon} b_s(X_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x))\mathrm{d}s + \sigma \cdot W_t \\ &= x + \int_{\ell_0^\varepsilon}^t b_{\gamma_s^\varepsilon}(Y_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x))\dot{\gamma}_s^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s + \sigma \cdot W_t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one can use the classical Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula (see (1.3)) to derive that

$$\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) = \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}\left(f(Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} \cdot \nabla_h Y_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d}W_s \right),\ t \geqslant \ell_0^\varepsilon,$$

where $\nabla_h Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)$ satisfies

$$\nabla_h Y_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) = h + \int_{\ell_0^\varepsilon}^t \nabla b_{\gamma_s^\varepsilon}(Y_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x))\nabla_h Y_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)\dot{\gamma}_s^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s.$$

Clearly, for each $t \geqslant 0$,

$$Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_{\ell_t^\varepsilon}(x) = X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x), \quad \nabla_h Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_{\ell_t^\varepsilon}(x) = \nabla_h X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x),$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) &= \frac{1}{\ell_t^\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) \int_0^{\ell_t^\varepsilon} \sigma^{-1} \cdot \nabla_h Y_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)\mathrm{d}W_s \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell_t^\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} \cdot \nabla_h X_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Now we want to take limits for both sides of the above formula. We need several lemmas. First of all, the following lemma is easy.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $p \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) - X_t^\ell(x)|^p \right) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

and for any $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\nabla_h X_s^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x) - \nabla_h X_s^\ell(x)|^p \right) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t(x) = h + \int_0^t \nabla b_s(X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s(x)) \cdot \nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s(x) \mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we drop the variable "x" below. From equation (1.8), we have

$$|X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t - X^\ell_t| \leqslant \|\nabla b\|_\infty \int_0^t |X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - X^\ell_s| \mathrm{d}s + |\sigma \cdot W_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} - \sigma \cdot W_{\ell_t}|.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$|X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t - X^\ell_t| \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{\|\nabla b\|_\infty t} |\sigma \cdot W_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} - \sigma \cdot W_{\ell_t}|,$$

which then gives (2.6) by (2.4).

As for (2.7), by (2.8) and (2.2) we have

$$|\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t - \nabla_h X^\ell_t| \leqslant \int_0^t |\nabla b_s(X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)| \cdot |\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - \nabla_h X^\ell_s| \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t |\nabla b_s(X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s) - \nabla b_s(X^\ell_s)| \cdot |\nabla_h X^\ell_s| \mathrm{d}s,$$

which yields by Gronwall's inequality that

$$|\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t - \nabla_h X^\ell_t| \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{\|\nabla b\|_\infty t} \int_0^t |\nabla b_s(X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s) - \nabla b_s(X^\ell_s)| \cdot |\nabla_h X^\ell_s| \mathrm{d}s.$$

Moreover, from equation (2.2), it is easy to see that for any $p \geqslant 1$,

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \mathbb{E} |\nabla_h X^\ell_s|^p \leqslant C.$$

Limit (2.7) now follows by the dominated convergence theorem, (2.6) and the continuity of $x \mapsto \nabla b_s(x)$. □

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *(i) Assume that ξ_t is a bounded continuous and $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t})$-adapted $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process. For each $p, T > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s} - \int_0^T \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} \right|^p = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where ℓ^ε_s is defined by (2.3).

(ii) Assume that ξ_t is a left continuous and $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t})$-adapted $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process and satisfies that for some $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < +\infty, \quad \forall T \geqslant 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for all $T \geqslant 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} \right|^p \right) \leqslant C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $T = 1$ and $d = 1$.

(i) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $t_k := k/n$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ and define

$$\xi^n_s = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_{t_k} 1_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]}(s).$$

By the continuity of $t \mapsto \xi_t$, it is clear that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\xi^n_s - \xi_s| = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Set

$$\eta_{n,\varepsilon} := \int_0^1 \xi^n_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}, \qquad \eta_\varepsilon := \int_0^1 \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s},$$
$$\eta_n := \int_0^1 \xi^n_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}, \qquad \eta := \int_0^1 \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}.$$

Noticing that $W_{\ell^\varepsilon_t}$ is a continuous $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell^\varepsilon_t})$-martingale and

$$[W_{\ell^\varepsilon}]_t = \ell^\varepsilon_t,$$

by Burkholder's inequality (cf. [12, p. 279, Proposition 15.7]) and (2.4), we have for any $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\eta_{n,\varepsilon} - \eta_\varepsilon|^p = \mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^1 (\xi^n_s - \xi_s)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}\right|^p \leqslant C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi^n_s - \xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell^\varepsilon_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leqslant C(\ell^1_1)^{\frac{p}{2}}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,1]} |\xi^n_s - \xi_s|^p\right).$$

Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem and (2.12),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1)} \mathbb{E}|\eta_{n,\varepsilon} - \eta_\varepsilon|^p = 0.$$

On the other hand, for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\eta_{n,\varepsilon} - \eta_n|^p &= \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_{t_k}(W_{\ell^\varepsilon_{t_{k+1}}} - W_{\ell^\varepsilon_{t_k}} - W_{\ell_{t_{k+1}}} + W_{\ell_{t_k}})\right|^p \\ &\leqslant C_{n,p}K^p \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}|W_{\ell^\varepsilon_{t_{k+1}}} - W_{\ell^\varepsilon_{t_k}} - W_{\ell_{t_{k+1}}} + W_{\ell_{t_k}}|^p \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} 0.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain (2.9).

(ii) We first assume that ξ_t is continuous and bounded by K. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the set of all rational numbers in $[0, 1]$. By (2.9), there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_s} \to \int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}, \ \ P - a.s.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q}}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q}}\lim_{\varepsilon_k\downarrow 0}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_s}\right|^p\right).$$

Since $W_{\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_t}$ is a continuous $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_t})$-martingale, by Fatou's lemma and Burkholder's inequality again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) &\leqslant \varliminf_{\varepsilon_k\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{Q}}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_s}\right|^p\right) \\ &\leqslant C_p \varliminf_{\varepsilon_k\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell^{\varepsilon_k}_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = C_p\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where the last limit can be proved as in (i).

Next, assume that ξ_t is left-continuous and bounded by K. Define

$$\xi^\varepsilon_t := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{(t-\varepsilon)\vee 0}^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}s, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then ξ^ε_t is a continuous and bounded $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t})$-adapted process. By (2.13) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|\int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} - \int_0^t \xi^{\varepsilon'}_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) \leqslant C\lim_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'\downarrow 0}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi^\varepsilon_s-\xi^{\varepsilon'}_s|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = 0.$$

So, (2.11) holds for left-continuous and bounded $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t})$-adapted process.

In the general case, we truncate ξ_t as follows: for $K > 0$, define

$$\xi^K_t := (-K) \vee \xi_t \wedge K.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{K,K'\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|\int_0^t \xi^K_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} - \int_0^t \xi^{K'}_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) \leqslant C_p\lim_{K,K'\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi^K_s-\xi^{K'}_s|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 2.4. *For $p \geqslant 1$, by Burkholder's inequality (cf.* [12, p.443, Theorem 23.12]*), we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) \asymp \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |\xi_s|^2\mathrm{d}[W_\ell]_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\asymp$ means that both sides are comparable by multiplying a constant, and $[W_\ell]_t$ denotes the quadratic variation of $(W_{\ell_t})_{t\geqslant 0}$ given by

$$[W_\ell]_t = \ell_t - \sum_{0<s\leqslant t}\Delta\ell_s + \sum_{0<s\leqslant t}|\Delta W_{\ell_s}|^2.$$

Except for the case of $p = 2$, it is not known whether the right hand sides of (2.11) and (2.14) are comparable.

Lemma 2.5. *For all $t \geqslant 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s(x)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell}_s(x)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we drop the variable "x" below. Since $\ell^\varepsilon_s \geqslant \ell_s$ by definition, $X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s$ and X^ℓ_s are $(\mathscr{F}_{\ell^\varepsilon_s})$-adapted. Thus, for proving (2.15), it suffices to prove the following two limits:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t (\sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell}_s)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}\right|^2 = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell}_s\mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s} - \int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X^{\ell}_s\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^2 = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

For (2.16), by the isometry property of stochastic integrals and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot(\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - \nabla_h X^{\ell}_s)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}\right|^2 &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t |\sigma^{-1}\cdot(\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - \nabla_h X^{\ell}_s)|^2\mathrm{d}\ell^\varepsilon_s\right)\\ &\leqslant \|\sigma^{-1}\|^2\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|\nabla_h X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - \nabla_h X^{\ell}_s|^2\right)\ell^1_t = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Limit (2.17) follows by Lemma 2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1: By (2.4), (2.6) and (2.15), the right hand sides of (2.5) converges to the one of (2.7). On the other hand, by (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) = \mathbb{E}\Big(\nabla f(X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)) \cdot \nabla_h X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon}(x)\Big) \to \mathbb{E}\Big(\nabla f(X_t^{\ell}(x)) \cdot \nabla_h X_t^{\ell}(x)\Big) = \nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t^{\ell}(x)).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The following lemma follows by the monotone class theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $t \geqslant 0$ and $A \in \mathscr{F}_t$. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$, we have*

$$\{w \in \mathbb{W} : w_\ell \in A\} \in \mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t}.$$

Below we recall a result due to Giné and Marcus [10].

Theorem 3.2. *Let ξ_t be a left continuous $(\mathscr{F}_t)$-adapted $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process and satisfies*

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s < +\infty, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha) > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$ and $T > 0$,

$$P\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right| \geqslant \lambda\right\} \leqslant C\lambda^{-\alpha}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s.$$

In particular, for any $p \in (0,\alpha)$ and some $C = C(\alpha, p) > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|^p\right) \leqslant C\left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Define

$$\zeta := \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s \mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|.$$

Then for any $\eta \geqslant 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\zeta^p &= p\int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1}P(\zeta > \lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda = p\left(\int_0^\eta + \int_\eta^\infty\right)\lambda^{p-1}P(\zeta > \lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\\ &\leqslant p\int_0^\eta \lambda^{p-1}\mathrm{d}\lambda + pC\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\int_\eta^\infty \lambda^{p-\alpha-1}\mathrm{d}\lambda\\ &\leqslant \eta^p + \frac{Cp}{p-\alpha}\eta^{p-\alpha}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\eta = \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|\xi_s|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, we obtain (3.1). □

Below we prove a substitution formula about stochastic integrals with respect to the subordinated Brownian motion W_{S_t}.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that $\xi_t(W_S)$ is a bounded and left continuous $(\mathscr{F}_t)$-adapted $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process. Then for any $T \geqslant 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^T \xi_s(W_S)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s} = \int_0^T \xi_s(W_\ell)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\Big|_{\ell=S}, \quad P-a.s. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, for any nonnegative random variable g on $\mathbb{S}$ and $p > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(g(S)\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s(W_S)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|^p\right) \leqslant C_p\int_{\mathbb{S}} g(\ell)\mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}}\left(\int_0^T |\xi_s(W_\ell)|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in Lemma 2.3. Without loss of generality, we assume $T = 1$. For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $t_k := k/n$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ and define

$$\xi^n_s(W_S) := \xi_0(W_S)1_{\{0\}}(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi_{t_i}(W_S)1_{(t_i,t_{i+1}]}(s).$$

Then, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \xi^n_s(W_S)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi^n_{t_i}(W_S)(W_{S_{t_{i+1}}} - W_{S_{t_i}})\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi^n_{t_i}(W_\ell)(W_{\ell_{t_{i+1}}} - W_{\ell_{t_i}})\Big|_{\ell=S} = \int_0^1 \xi^n_s(W_\ell)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\Big|_{\ell=S},\end{aligned}$$

and by the left continuity of $s \mapsto \xi_s(W_S)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\xi^n_s(W_S) - \xi_s(W_S)| = 0, \quad s \geqslant 0. \tag{3.4}$$

For $p \in (0, \alpha)$, by (3.1) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^1 (\xi^n_s(W_S) - \xi_s(W_S))\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|^p \leqslant C\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}|\xi^n_s(W_S) - \xi_s(W_S)|^p\mathrm{d}s \to 0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$ and $s \geqslant 0$, $\xi_s(W_\ell)$ is $(\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_s})$-adapted. Thus, by (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^1 (\xi^n_s(W_\ell) - \xi_s(W_\ell))\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\Big|_{\ell=S}\right|^p &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}}\left|\int_0^1 (\xi^n_s(W_\ell) - \xi_s(W_\ell))\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell)\\ &\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi^n_s(W_\ell) - \xi_s(W_\ell)|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell)\\ &= C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 |\xi^n_s(W_S) - \xi_s(W_S)|^2\mathrm{d}S_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero by (3.4) and the dominated convergence theorem. Combining the above calculations, we obtain (3.2). Lastly, (3.3) is an easy consequence of (3.2) and (2.11). □

We are now in a position to give

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Formula (1.6) follows by (2.1) and (3.2). We now prove gradient estimate (1.7). By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla_h \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x))| &\leqslant \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{S_t}\left|f(X_t(x))\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X_s(x)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|\right)\\ &\leqslant \left(\mathbb{E}|f(X_t(x))|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{S_t}\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X_s(x)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By (1.4), it is easy to see that

$$|\nabla_h X_t(x)| \leqslant |h|\mathrm{e}^{\|\nabla b\|_\infty t}.$$

Thus, by (3.3) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{S_t}\int_0^t \sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X_s(x)\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\right|^q \leqslant C_q\int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{1}{\ell^q_t}\mathbb{E}^{\mu_{\mathbb{W}}}\left(\int_0^t |\sigma^{-1}\cdot\nabla_h X_s(x)|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell)$$

$$\leqslant C_q\|\sigma^{-1}\|^q|h|^q\mathrm{e}^{q\|\nabla b\|_\infty t}\int_{\mathbb{S}}\frac{\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell)}{\ell_t^{q/2}}.$$

Recalling that the distributional density of α-stable subordinator satisfies (cf. [5, (14)])

$$P\circ S_t^{-1}(\mathrm{d}s)\leqslant Cts^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-ts^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\mathrm{d}s,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}}\frac{\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell)}{\ell_t^{q/2}}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{S_t^{q/2}}\right)\leqslant C\int_0^\infty ts^{-1-\frac{\alpha+q}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-ts^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\mathrm{d}s=Ct^{-\frac{q}{\alpha}}\int_0^\infty u^{\frac{q}{\alpha}}\mathrm{e}^{-u}\mathrm{d}u,$$

where the last equality is due to the change of variable $u=ts^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, and C only depends on α, q. Combining the above calculations, we obtain (1.7).

4. Strong Feller property of SPDEs driven by subordinated cylindrical Brownian motions

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a real separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$. The norm in $\mathbb{H}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}}$. Let A be a negative self-adjoint operator in $\mathbb{H}$ with discrete spectrals, i.e., there exists an orthogonal basis $\{e_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence of real numbers $0<\lambda_1\leqslant\lambda_2\leqslant\cdots\leqslant\lambda_k\to\infty$ such that

$$Ae_k=-\lambda_k e_k.$$

Let $\{W_t^k, t\geqslant 0\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion. Let $\{L_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be the subordinated cylindrical Brownian motion in $\mathbb{H}$ defined by

$$L_t:=\sum_{k=1}^\infty\beta_k W_{S_t}^k e_k,\ \ \beta_k\in\mathbb{R},$$

where S_t is an independent $\alpha/2$-stable subordinator.

Consider the following SPDE in Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t(x)=[AX_t(x)+F(X_t(x))]\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{d}L_t,\ \ X_0=x\in\mathbb{H}. \tag{4.1}$$

Our aim of this section is to prove that

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that for some* $\delta>0$*,*

$$\beta_k\geqslant\delta,\ \ \forall k\in\mathbb{N}\ \ \textit{and}\ \ \sum_{k=1}^\infty\frac{\beta_k^2}{\lambda_k}<+\infty, \tag{4.2}$$

and one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $\alpha\in(1,2)$ *and* $F:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}$ *is Lipschitz continuous;*

(ii) $\alpha\in(0,2)$ *and* $F:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}$ *is bounded and Lipschitz continuous.*

Then there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha)>0$ *such that for any bounded Borel measurable function* $f:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{R}$*,* $x,y\in\mathbb{H}$ *and* $t>0$*,*

$$|\mathbb{E}f(X_t(x))-\mathbb{E}f(X_t(y))|\leqslant C\delta\mathrm{e}^{\|F\|_{\mathrm{Lip}}t}t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|f\|_\infty\|x-y\|_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\|F\|_{\mathrm{Lip}}:=\sup_{x\neq y}\frac{\|F(x)-F(y)\|_{\mathbb{H}}}{\|x-y\|_{\mathbb{H}}}$.

Let us first prove a result about the following stochastic convolution (cf. [15, Theorem 4.5]):

$$Z_t^A:=\int_0^t\mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}\mathrm{d}L_s=\sum_{k=1}^\infty\beta_k\int_0^t\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_k(t-s)}\mathrm{d}W_{S_s}^k e_k.$$

Proposition 4.2. *If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\beta_k^2/\lambda_k < +\infty$, then for any $p \in (0,\alpha)$ and $t \geqslant 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z_t^A\|_{\mathbb{H}}^p \leqslant C_{\alpha,p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\beta_k|^2}{\lambda_k}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Recall the following Gaussian formula (cf. [13, Appendix A.1]): Let $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables defined on some probability space $(\Omega', \mathscr{F}', P')$ with normal distribution $N(0,1)$, and $\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of real numbers. Then

$$\mathbb{E}'\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k\xi_k\right|^p = A_p\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|c_k|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad A_p := \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|x|^p}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\mathrm{d}x.$$

Using this formula and by Fubini's theorem and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_p\mathbb{E}\|Z_t^A\|_{\mathbb{H}}^p &= A_p\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\beta_k\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_k(t-s)}\mathrm{d}W^k_{S_s}\right|^2\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}'\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\xi_k\beta_k\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_k(t-s)}\mathrm{d}W^k_{S_s}\right|^p\\
&= \mathbb{E}'\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\xi_k\beta_k\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_k(t-s)}\mathrm{d}W^k_{S_s}\right|^p \leqslant C\mathbb{E}'\int_0^t\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|\xi_k\beta_k|^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda_k(t-s)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leqslant C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t\mathbb{E}'|\xi_k\beta_k|^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda_k(t-s)}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leqslant C\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\beta_k|^2}{2\lambda_k}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. □

We now establish the following existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to equation (4.1).

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $F : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is Lipschitz continuous and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\beta_k^2/\lambda_k < +\infty$. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists a unique $X_t(x) \in \mathbb{H}$ satisfying*

$$X_t = \mathrm{e}^{At}x + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}F(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + Z_t^A. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Consider the following deterministic equation:

$$Y_t = \mathrm{e}^{At}x + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}F(Y_s + Z_s^A)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Using the standard Picard's iteration, it is easy to see that there exists a unique $Y \in C([0,\infty);\mathbb{H})$ satisfying the above equation. Thus, $X_t = Y_t + Z_t^A$ satisfies (4.5). □

Let $\mathbb{H}_n := \{x = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e_k, c_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and Π_n the projection operator from $\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{H}_n$ defined by

$$\Pi_n x := \sum_{k=0}^{n}\langle x, e_k\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} e_k.$$

Let ρ_n be a sequence of nonnegative smooth functions with

$$\mathrm{supp}(\rho_n) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{H}_n : |z| \leqslant 1/n\}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n}\rho_n(z)\mathrm{d}z = 1.$$

Define

$$F_n(x) := \int_{\mathbb{H}_n}\rho_n(\Pi_n x - z)\Pi_n F(z)\mathrm{d}z$$

and

$$L_t^n := \Pi_n L_t = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\beta_k W^k_{S_t} e_k.$$

Consider the following finite dimensional approximation of equation (4.1):

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^n = [\Pi_n A X_t^n + F_n(X_t^n)]\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t^n, \quad X_0^n = \Pi_n x.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, for any fixed $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|X_t^n(\Pi_n x) - X_t(x)\|_{\mathbb{H}} = 0, \quad P - a.s. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. By Duhamel's formula, one can write

$$X_t^n = \mathrm{e}^{At}\Pi_n x + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)} F_n(X_s^n)\mathrm{d}s + \Pi_n Z_t^A.$$

Set

$$Y_t^n := X_t^n - \Pi_n Z_t^A, \quad Y_t := X_t - Z_t^A.$$

Then

$$Y_t^n - Y_t = \mathrm{e}^{At}(\Pi_n x - x) + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{A(t-s)}(F_n(Y_s^n + \Pi_n Z_s^A) - F(Y_s + Z_s^A))\mathrm{d}s.$$

Hence,

$$\|Y_t^n - Y_t\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leqslant \|\Pi_n x - x\|_{\mathbb{H}} + \int_0^t \|F_n(Y_s^n + \Pi_n Z_s^A) - F(Y_s + Z_s^A)\|_{\mathbb{H}}\mathrm{d}s.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_n(Y_s^n + \Pi_n Z_s^A) - F(Y_s + Z_s^A)\|_{\mathbb{H}} &\leqslant \|F\|_{\mathrm{Lip}}(\|Y_s^n - Y_s\|_{\mathbb{H}} + \|(\Pi_n - I)Z_s^A\|_{\mathbb{H}}) \\ &\quad + \|(\Pi_n - I)F(Y_s + Z_s^A)\|_{\mathbb{H}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|(\Pi_n - I)Z_s^A\|_{\mathbb{H}} = 0, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}\|(\Pi_n - I)F(Y_s + Z_s^A)\|_{\mathbb{H}} = 0.$$

(i) If $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and F is Lipschitz continuous, by (4.4) and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\|Y_t^n - Y_t\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leqslant C\int_0^t \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\|Y_s^n - Y_s\|_{\mathbb{H}}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.7}$$

which then gives

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\|Y_t^n - Y_t\|_{\mathbb{H}} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and F is bounded, by Fatou's lemma, we also have (4.7) and (4.8). □

Proof of Theorem 4.1: For any function $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$, by (1.7) with $p = \infty$, there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha) > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbb{E}f(X_t^n(\Pi_n x)) - \mathbb{E}f(X_t^n(\Pi_n y))| &\leqslant C\delta\|f\|_\infty \mathrm{e}^{\|\nabla F_n\|_\infty t} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|\Pi_n x - \Pi_n y\|_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &\leqslant C\delta\|f\|_\infty \mathrm{e}^{\|F\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} t} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|x - y\|_{\mathbb{H}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By taking limits for (4.9), we get (4.3) for any $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$. For general bounded measurable f, it follows by a standard approximation (see [7, p.125, Lemma 7.1.5]).

Example: Consider the following nonlinear stochastic heat equation in $[0,1]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\partial_t u = [\Delta u + b(u)]\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}L_t, \quad u_t(0) = u_t(1) = 0, \quad u_0 = \varphi,$$

where $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function, and $\varphi \in L^2([0,1]) =: \mathbb{H}$. It is well-known that $A = \Delta$ is a negative self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{H}$ with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = \pi^2 k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and eigenvectors

$$e_k(\zeta) = \sqrt{2}\sin(\pi k\zeta), \quad \zeta \in [0, 1].$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < +\infty.$$

Thus, if one takes $\beta_k = 1$, then (4.2) holds.

Acknowledgements:
The author thanks Lihu Xu for useful discussions.

References

[1] Arnaudon A., Thalmaier A., Wang F.Y.: Gradient estimate and Harnack inequality on non-compact Riemannian manifolds. Stoch. Proc. Appl., 119(2009), 3653-3670.

[2] Bally V., Bavouzet M.P. and Messaoud M.: Integration by parts formula for locally smooth laws and applications to sensitivity computations. Ann. Appl. Prob., 17, 33-66(2007).

[3] Beroin J.: Lévy processes. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, London (1996)

[4] Bismut J.M.: Large deviations and the Malliavin calculus. Birkhäuser, Boston (1984).

[5] Bogdan K. and Stós A. and Sztonyk P.: Harnack inequality for stable processes on d-sets. Studia Math., 158(2), 163-198(2003).

[6] Cass T.R. and Friz P.K.: The Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for jump-diffusions and applications to Monte-Carlo methods in finance. arXiv: math.PR/0604311 v1.

[7] Da Prato G. and Zabczyk J.: Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems. London Math. Society, Lecture Notes Series, 229, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.

[8] Elworthy K.D. and Li X.M.: Formulae for the derivatives of heat semigroups. J. Func. Anal., 125, 252-286(1994).

[9] Fournié E., Lasry J.M., Lebuchoux J., Lions P.L., Touzi N.: Applications of Malliavin calculus to Monte-Carlo methods in finance. Finance stoch., 3, 391-412(1999).

[10] Giné E. and Marcus B.: The central limit theorem for stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes. The Annals of Prob., 11, 58-77(1983).

[11] Guillin A. and Wang F.Y.: Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations: Bismut formula, gradient estimate and Harnack inequality. J. Diff. Equa., in press(2012).

[12] Kallenberg O.: Foundations of Modern Probability. Springer Verlag, 1997.

[13] Nualart D.: The Malliavin calculus and related topics. Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(2005).

[14] Peszat S. and Zabczyk J.: Strong Feller property and irreducibility for diffusions on Hilbert spaces. The Annals of Prob., 23, 157-172(1995).

[15] Priola E. and Zabczyk J.: Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields 149 (2011), 97-137.

[16] Protter P.E.: Stochastic integration and differential equations. Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[17] Sato K.I.: Lévy processes and infinite divisble distributions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[18] Takeuchi A.: The Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formulae for stochastic differential equations with jumps. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 23(2010), 576-604.

[19] Wang F.Y.: Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for jump processes. http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.5531.

[20] Wang F.Y. and Zhang X.C.: Derivative formula and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups. http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.0096.

[21] Zhang X.C.: Stochastic flows and Bismut formulas for stochastic Hamiltonian systems. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120(2010), 1929–1949.

[22] Zhang, X.C.: Stochastic functional differential equations driven by Lévy processes and quasi-linear partial integro-differential equations. Ann. Appl. Prob. in press(2012).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, P.R.China, Email: XichengZhang@gmail.com